EA2ON
Z 1
-63A22

83 Pleasant Blvd.,
Toronto 7, Ont.

October 15, 1963.

Lancelot J. Smith,
Chartered Accountant,
48 Yonge St.,
Toronto, Ont.

Dear Mr. Smith,

Last week, I read an article in the Toronto Star titled "They want to hear your tax beefs".

By co-incident, I received a notice of assessment from the Retail Sales Tax Branch regarding a recent transfer of ownership involving my brother and I. The situation is this:

(1.) There were no (cash) sales involved, but only a temporary transfer because my brother is leaving the country for three or four months.

(2.) The blue transfer slip did not clearly define "Member of his Family".

In the amendment recently made by the Retail Sales Tax Act, it states that under Paragraph I: "Member of his Family" that father, mother, husband, wife, grandfather, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, mother-in-law, or father-in-law can make the transfer and still be exempted from the 3% Provincial Tax.

However, motor vehicle transfers between brothers, sisters, and other <u>related</u> persons not mentioned above are subject to tax on the fair value of the goods transferred.

Please note the underlined word "related". Why should a son-in-law, daughter-in-law, mother-in-law, or father-in-law be exempted when there is a closer tie in blood relations with brothers and sisters?

This section of the law is a fallacy and should be rectified. The 3% tax imposed on me is highly unjustified. Under these grounds, are my main beefs.

Yours truly,

Signature

Douglas Kee

ep

EASON
Z 1
-83A22